INTRODUCTION

Plasma spraying technologies are widely used to deposit a considerable variety of composite ceramic coatings. High temperatures and deposition rates are common in plasma spraying process. The spray process is based on the generation of a plasma jet consisting of argon or argon with admixtures, which are ionized by high current arc discharge inside a plasma torch. The powders to be sprayed are injected into the plasma, where they are accelerated, melted and finally deposited onto the substrate [1].

In order to get well melted injected particles and good quality, controlled thickness coatings, it is convenient to ensure control of plasma spray parameters such as plasma flame/injected particle temperature and velocity [2, 3]. Several in situ plasma diagnostic tools exist to determine the plasma torch temperature. One of the most spread is optical emission spectroscopy (OES). This method is based on the spectral analysis of optical radiation emitted by excited atoms or molecules then they return to the lower energy state level. OES has been successfully applied to identify the species present in the plasma. The difficulties in estimating the plasma temperature one can find then spraying process is performed in a vacuum. Such plasma is not in the thermodynamic equilibrium [4] and the temperature of it must be expressed by excited neutrals, ions and electrons temperatures \( T_{\text{exc}}, T_{\text{ion}}, T_{e} \). Calculating \( T_{\text{exc}}, T_{\text{ion}}, T_{e} \) separately is a difficult and much time demanding task [5].

In the case of non-equilibrium plasma it is more convenient to investigate the overall energy of neutrals, ions and electrons transferred to the probe placed inside a plasma torch. The simplicity of equipment and experiment constitute the advantages of the probe method [6]. The main limitation of this method is that it is an intrusive method, causing a local perturbation of the plasma [7].

The present work aims at a diagnostics of the plasma torch under different Ar/H\(_2\) working gas flow ratio before and after powder injection. The factorial experimental plan was applied to get an empirical probe temperature dependence on Ar/H\(_2\) gas flow. The OES spectra were analyzed for getting information about the processes occurring in a plasma jet. The vacuum plasma spray equipment in this work was employed to produce YSZ-NiO-Ni (yttria stabilized zirconia, nickel oxide, nickel) coatings. YSZ-NiO-Ni cermet remains promising solid anode material for use in solid oxide fuel cells, consisting of an anode, a YSZ electrolyte and a La\(_{1-x}\)SrMnO\(_{3}\) cathode [8].

EXPERIMENTAL

The equipment used for the plasma generation and deposition of YSZ-NiO-Ni coatings consists of a commercially available plasma gun (SG-100 Miller Thermal Inc.) mounted in a water-cooled vacuum chamber, cooling substrate holder and computerized powder feeder (Fig. 1). Argon and hydrogen were as a primary and a secondary working gas for plasma jet respectively. A rotor vacuum pump allowed maintaining 1153 Pa – 1360 Pa pressure in the chamber. The plasma spray parameters used during the experiment are given in Table 1.

The probe used for the plasma torch diagnostics was made of a tungsten stick (2 mm in diameter). The naked top part of it was exposed to the plasma and the bottom part was wrapped in an alumina insulator to ensure a good thermal resistance. The temperature of the heated probe was measured at a constant distance of 25 cm from the plasma gun nozzle by the optical pyrometer LOP-72 with a filter for a wavelength \( \lambda = 650 \) nm. It is known from
literature [9], that plasma torch has steep temperature gradients not only in the axial but in the radial direction also. Therefore, the point at the hottest part of the probe was measured at the point corresponding to the centre of plasma torch.

Fig. 1. Schematic diagram of the experimental setup: PG – plasma gun (SG-100 Miller Thermal), WS – water supply system, DC – DC power source, PF – computerized powder feeder, 1 – vacuum pump, 2 – cooling substrate holder, 3 – tungsten probe, 4 – plasma torch, 5 / 6 – pyrometer/spectrometer

Table 1. Vacuum plasma spray processing parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>500 A – 640 A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voltage</td>
<td>27 V – 35 V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary gas Ar</td>
<td>25 l/min – 31 l/min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary gas H₂</td>
<td>4 l/min – 8 l/min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Powder feed rate</td>
<td>10 g/min – 20 g/min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standoff distance</td>
<td>30 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure in the chamber</td>
<td>1049 Pa – 1330 Pa</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

An optical spectrometer of AvaSpec design was used to get a plasma spectrum in the range of 300 nm – 1000 nm. The spectrum below 400 nm was partly filtered, because the spectrometer was separated from the plasma radiation by a vacuum chamber window made of glass. The light entering a spectroscopic system was collimated by the spherical mirror, diffracted by the plane grating 300 lines/mm and focused by the second spherical mirror to the 2048 pixel CCD detector. The spectrometer has a wavelength depth resolution of 1.4 nm.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Influence of the Ar/H₂ working gas flow rate on the plasma torch length and shape was examined firstly. Fig. 2. shows the plasma jet pictures under different working gas flow rate. After Ar gas flow has been increased from 25 l/min to 31 l/min (totally flow rate has been increased from 29 l/min to 35 l/min) the plasma torch suffered a contraction in the axial and an expansion in the radial direction. The experimental results showed the same effect with the increased hydrogen gas flow rate. This is due to transition of the plasma spray regime. When gas flow increases an interaction between the adjacent plasma jet layers and the surrounding cold particles becomes stronger leading to a gas mixing. Thus the quasi-laminar plasma jet transforms into the turbulent one. Following the experimental results found in the literature [9], temperature gradients of the laminar plasma jets with long plasma torches in axial direction are about two orders lower then those of the turbulent plasma jets. That means, one can expect a lower temperature of the plasma torch at a constant distance from nozzle with a higher gas flow rate.

Further diagnostics on the plasma torch have been made by the tungsten probe. The top part of it is shown on the left side of Fig. 2. In all cases the probe temperature measurements were done after 30 s exposition in the plasma when equilibrium conditions have been reached. The spectral emissivity of tungsten at a wavelength 650 nm was expressed by the first order polynomial

\[ e_\lambda(T) = 0.478 - 2 \times 10^{-5}T \]

in the temperature range of 1000 K – 2800 K [10] and the equation used for the tungsten temperature calculation

\[ T = \frac{CT_b}{C + \lambda T_b \ln e_\lambda} \]

was derived from Planks law. The constant C in Eq. (1) is equal \( C = \frac{hc}{k} \), h is the Plank constant, c is the speed of light, k is the Boltzmann constant, \( T_b \) is the temperature measured by the pyrometer. Spectral emissivity in Eq. 1 is a function of temperature that is the object of calculations, therefore Solver utility of Microsoft Excel was used to find the best fitted value of T.

The factorial orthogonal central composite plan design [11, 12] was chosen to obtain the probe temperature distribution under different Ar/H₂ gas flow rate. Each of two normalized plasma spray parameters was varied between low (−1), main (0) and high (+1) level independently. The transition between real and normalized \( x_1, x_2 \) variables can be made on the basis of the following relationships:

\[ x_1 = \frac{F_{H_2} - 6}{2} \quad x_2 = \frac{F_{Ar} - 28}{3} \]
where $F_{H_2}$ and $F_{Ar}$ are the hydrogen and argon gas flow rates (l/min) respectively. Totally 9 experiments are necessary to run over all treatment combinations $x_1$, $x_2$. In order to estimate the accuracy of temperature measurements and adequacy of the model the experiments were repeated twice. The planning matrix, the average measured temperatures of the probe heated by the plasma ($T_E$) are presented in Table 2. The influence of the process parameters $F_{H_2}$, $F_{Ar}$ on probe temperature placed in the plasma was described mathematically by the second order regression equation. After the calculations have been made on the coefficients of regression equation, the probe temperature dependence on hydrogen and argon gas flow rates was found to be:

$$
T(F_{H_2}, F_{Ar}) = 716762 + 7233F_{H_2} - 2823F_{Ar} - 2694F_{H_2}^2 + 218F_{Ar}^2 + 9.43F_{H_2}F_{Ar}.
$$

The last column in Table 2 shows the calculated temperature values by Eq. (3) for the comparison. The adequacy of the introduced mathematical model was checked by the Fisher’s criteria with a significance level of 0.05. The value of $R^2$ was calculated also. According to the Fisher’s criteria the mathematical model of the experiment can be accepted, because requirement for such criteria was fulfilled (calculated Fisher’s coefficient was less then tabulated: $F_{calc} < F_{tab}$, i.e. 2.69 < 3.37). It should be mentioned that calculated $R^2$ for Eq. (3) is 0.986.

**Table 2.** The factorial orthogonal central composite experimental plan design

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Treatment combination</th>
<th>Average values</th>
<th>Calculated values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$x_1$</td>
<td>$x_2$</td>
<td>$T_E$, K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>2196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1663</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>2295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1867</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1771</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>2344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1983</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The graphical view of the argon/hydrogen flow rate influence on probe temperature expressed by equation (3) is shown in Fig. 3. A higher Ar gas flow rate results in a lower enthalpy of the ionized Ar/H$_2$ gas mixture. Furthermore, the experiments on plasma torch shape described previously showed contraction of plasma torch in axial direction, when gas flow rate was increased. Both effects lead to the probe temperature decrease.

For the increased H$_2$ gas flow rate, the probe temperature variation was different (Fig. 3). There was observed an existence of the maximum temperature value. Such distribution of the probe temperature can be explained by competition of two effects: the high enthalpy of the ionized H$_2$ gas leading to the temperature increase and transition of the plasma torch regime from quasiliamnar to the turbulent one leading to the decrease of temperature.

$$
I_{H/Ar}(F_{H_2}, F_{Ar}) = 8.86 + 1.58F_{H_2} - 0.63F_{Ar} - 0.09F_{H_2}^2 + 0.01F_{Ar}^2 - 0.01F_{H_2}F_{Ar}.
$$

Calculated $R^2$ in this case for Eq. (4) is 0.984.
The plot of Eq. (4) is given in Fig. 5. The values of relative intensities $I_{\text{H}}/I_{\text{Ar}}$ (Fig. 5) show an increase with increased $\text{H}_2$ flow and decrease with increased $\text{Ar}$ flow corresponding to the rate of excitation and ionization of the working gas mixture. Similar variation of the intensities ratios was found for all un-overlapped Ar I and H I peaks.

At higher $\text{H}_2$ content in Ar/$\text{H}_2$ gas mixture one can expect higher probe temperature placed in the plasma because of higher enthalpy of ionized $\text{H}_2$ gas as compared with Ar. However, different distributions of probe temperature and values of relative intensities $I_{\text{H}}/I_{\text{Ar}}$ v.s. Ar/$\text{H}_2$ gas flow rate confirm the fact of competition of several processes and existence of the optimal plasma spray regime.

Fig. 5. Excited hydrogen ($\lambda = 486$ nm) and argon ($\lambda = 763$ nm) lines intensities ratio $I_{\text{H}}/I_{\text{Ar}}$ v.s. argon $F_{\text{Ar}}$ and hydrogen $F_{\text{H}_2}$ working gas flow rate. The power of arc discharge varied in the range of 17.4 kW (voltage 30 V, current 580 A) and 19.2 kW (voltage 30 V, current 640 A).

Fig. 6. Spectrum of the arc discharge plasma: a – before and after b – YSZ – NiO powder injection

The experiments at a different Ar/$\text{H}_2$ working gas flow rate were performed with powder injection into the plasma. The sharp peaks appeared in the wavelength range of 380 nm – 500 nm after injection of YSZ, NiO powder mixture into the plasma (Fig. 6). The optical emission spectrum peaks were compared with the catalogue data of the corresponding element emission lines. These lines can be assigned to the excited and ionized Y, Zr, Ni atoms [10]. The optical emission spectrum intensity after powder injection was normalized with regard to the most intensive Ar I line at a wavelength of 763 nm. It was observed that integrated intensity in the 380 nm – 500 nm wavelength range of the normalized spectrum was varying because of chosen different plasma spray parameters such as Ar/$\text{H}_2$ working gas flow rate and powder injection speed rate.

The correlation between the deposition rate of coatings formed by vacuum plasma spray process and the integrated intensity of the normalized spectrum in the 380 nm – 500 nm wavelength range was found. The plot of the deposition rate as a function of integrated intensity is shown in Fig. 7.

Fig. 7. Deposition rate of coatings formed by vacuum plasma spray as a function of integrated intensity of emission lines in the wavelength range of 380 nm – 500 nm

The deposition rate increases with increase of the integrated intensity and seems to be almost proportional to it ($R^2 = 0.824$ of the trend line). This experimental result can be used for control of the coatings deposition. However the deviations from the linear dependence are observed particularly at high deposition rates, therefore the point corresponding to treatment combination No. 2 (Table 2) was rejected. These deviations can be caused by spontaneous spray instability as well as by processes such as dissociation of powder in the plasma that lead to indirect excitation and ionization of the atoms. The spectrum itself in the wavelength range of 380 nm – 500 nm still contains Ar I and H I emission lines. This can be a reason as well of the deflection from the linear dependence.

CONCLUSIONS

A diagnostics of the vacuum plasma spray process was performed by probe and OES method under different Ar/$\text{H}_2$ working gas flow. It was found that two effects influence the change of the probe temperature mainly. At a higher $\text{H}_2$ content in Ar/$\text{H}_2$ gas mixture the probe temperature increases because of higher enthalpy of the ionized $\text{H}_2$ gas as compared with Ar. When the total gas flow is too high quasi-laminar plasma torch transforms into turbulent one leading to the probe temperature decrease.
The competition between these two effects results an existence of the probe temperature maximum and optimal vacuum plasma spray regime.

The analysis of optical emission spectrum revealed that the ionized Ar/H₂ gas mixture emission lines consisted of H I Balmer series and excited Ar I lines in the wavelength range of 380 nm – 800 nm before powder injection into the plasma. The sharp peaks appeared in the wavelength range of 380 nm – 500 nm after injection of YSZ, NiO powder mixture into the plasma. These lines can be assigned to the excited and ionized Y, Zr, Ni atoms.

The integrated intensity in the 380 nm – 500 nm wavelength range of the normalized emission spectrum after powder injection as well as deposition rate of coatings were sensitive to the chosen different plasma spray parameters. It was found linear dependence between the deposition rate and intensity of integrated optical emission at low deposition rate.
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